UNITED
NATIONS

CAT

Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

Distr.
GENERAL

CAT/C/SR.227
15 November 1995

Original: ENGLISH

COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE

Fifteenth session

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE FIRST PART (PUBLIC)* OF THE 227th MEETING

Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva,
on Monday, 13 November 1995, at 10.30 a.m.

Chairman: Mr. DIPANDA MOUELLE

CONTENTS

OPENING OF THE SESSION

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

ORGANIZATIONAL AND OTHER MATTERS

* The summary record of the second part (closed) of the meeting appears as document CAT/C/SR.227/Add.1.

This record is subject to correction.

Corrections should be submitted in one of the working languages. They should be set forth in a memorandum and also incorporated in a copy of the record. They should be sent within one week of the date of this document to the Official Records Editing Section, room E.4108, Palais des Nations, Geneva.

Any corrections to the records of the public meetings of the Committee at this session will be consolidated in a single corrigendum, to be issued shortly after the end of the session.

GE.95-19548 (E)

The meeting was called to order at 10.40 a.m.

OPENING OF THE SESSION

1. The CHAIRMAN declared open the fifteenth session of the Committee and welcomed the members.

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA (item 1 of the provisional agenda)

2. The agenda (CAT/C/31) was adopted.

ORGANIZATIONAL AND OTHER MATTERS (agenda item 2)

Exhibition on the practice of torture

3. Mr. BURNS reported to the Committee that he had recently met a Quebec artist, Mr. Fiore, who for several years had been preparing an artistic representation of the horrors of torture. He had been asked by the artist, who had also been in touch with the Chairman and Mrs. Klein of the Centre for Human Rights, to seek the Committee's backing for an exhibition of his work, to be held for one session just outside the conference room. If the Committee decided to give the artist its moral support, the Secretariat, as he understood it, would approve the exhibition. It would then be up to the artist to find the financial resources needed for carrying out the project. Copies of the artist's portfolio were available to give the members of the Committee an idea of his work, which would not fail to have a powerful impact on visitors to the Palais des Nations. He asked the Committee to support the artist's universal statement against torture, which was not directed against any particular country.

4. The CHAIRMAN, joined by Mr. SORENSEN, Mr. SLIM and Mr. El IBRASHI, endorsed Mr. Burns' proposal, because it would create awareness of the terrible nature of torture and also serve to inform the public of the Committee's work.

5. Mr. BURNS said he took it that the Committee was in favour of holding such an exhibition.

Participation in the work of the Committee

6. The CHAIRMAN announced that Mr. Yakovlev might not be able to attend the current session.

7. Mr. EL IBRASHI sought clarification as to why, for a second consecutive time, Mr. Lorenzo was not attending a session of the Committee.

8. Mr. BRUNI (Secretary of the Committee) said that in a letter dated 23 May 1995, Mr. Jean-Claude Aimé Chief of Staff of the Executive Office of the Secretary-General, responding on behalf of the Secretary-General to Mr. Dipanda Mouelle's letter appealing against the decision taken not to allow Mr. Lorenzo to serve on the Committee, had written that, following a review by the Office of Legal Affairs, the validity of the ruling made not to authorize Mr. Lorenzo to serve on the Committee for as long as he remained a staff member of the United Nations had been confirmed.

9. Mr. Lorenzo, who had been contacted during preparations for the current session, had stated that he was still a member of the United Nations human rights verification mission in Guatemala (MINUGUA). Given the incompatibility between Mr. Lorenzo's existing status as a United Nations staff member and his membership of the Committee, he could not attend the current session.

10. Mr. EL IBRASHI drew attention to rule 13 (1) of the Committee's rules of procedure, which made provision for such cases: the Secretary-General must immediately declare the seat of the member concerned to be vacant and must request the State party to appoint another expert to replace him. He inquired whether the Secretary-General had taken those steps.

11. Mr. SORENSEN said that, although it was the duty of the State to appoint a new member, Uruguay had probably refrained from doing so because Mr. Lorenzo's term expired at the end of the current year.

12. Mr. BURNS said that under rule 13, the onus was clearly on the Secretary-General to initiate the procedure by declaring the seat vacant; only after that must a State appoint another member. The Secretariat having decided that an incompatibility existed, should also have realized that there was a need to declare a vacancy. The Secretariat's decision had been made six months previously, and it was therefore too late to do anything about Mr. Lorenzo's case, but the Committee must ensure that there was no recurrence of such situations.

13. Mr. EL IBRASHI, agreeing with the previous speaker, said that a reference to that effect should be included in the Committee's report.

14. Ms. ILIOPOULOS-STRANGAS, concurring with the previous speakers, proposed, in order to ensure that such a situation did not recur, that the Committee should not only refer to the matter in its own report but also send a formal communication to the Secretary-General expressing surprise that the necessary procedure had not been followed in Mr. Lorenzo's case in particular, that the State party had not been requested to appoint another expert to replace him.

15. Mr. SLIM said that it was important to determine whether Mr. Lorenzo's inability to attend the Committee's sessions was temporary or permanent, the question of permanent absence being covered by article 17 of the Convention and rule 13 of the Committee's rules of procedure. If the case was one of permanent absence, the Secretary-General should have declared the seat vacant; had he done so, the State party might already have appointed a successor. As Mr. Lorenzo's term of office was drawing to an end, however, the Committee need take no further action.

16. Mr. BRUNI (Secretary of the Committee) said the Committee should bear in mind that, since Mr. Lorenzo's temporary contract in Guatemala with the United Nations had been due to expire only on 30 September 1995, his seat on the Committee could not have been declared vacant as he might have participated in the current session. Furthermore, Mr. Lorenzo's Government had been informed through its Geneva Mission of the Committee's concern over the question.

17. Mr. EL IBRASHI supported the proposal made by Ms. Iliopoulos-Strangas. Any renewal of Mr. Lorenzo's contract could only have been done by the Secretary-General who should at the time have applied rule 13. The situation could not be justified.

18. Ms. ILIOPOULOS-STRANGAS said that the rules of procedure and the Convention should be interpreted in such a way as to ensure that the Committee had all its members present at all times. Members could not pursue a political career and remain on the Committee. The matter might usefully be raised at the meeting of States parties, in view of their responsibility for members' participation in the Committee's work, and the State in question asked why it had not appointed a replacement.

19. Mr. SORENSEN said that in the light of the Secretariat's explanation, it would be unwise for the Committee to write to the Secretary-General at the present time.

20. The CHAIRMAN said that the Secretary-General might perhaps have contacted the State party on the question. Now that Mr. Lorenzo's term of office on the Committee was drawing to a close, his candidacy would have to be resubmitted if he was to remain a member of the Committee. The Committee should leave matters as they were for the time being.

Draft optional protocol to the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

21. Mr. SORENSEN said that the open-ended working group on the draft optional protocol had recently completed its first reading of the text, and that he had attended its second and fourth sessions. The protocol, originally proposed in 1981 by Costa Rica and taken up by others some years later, was intended to enable inspections to be made in different countries by a sub-committee consisting of representatives of the United Nations Committee against Torture (CAT) and of the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT). Both Committees had submitted papers and had sent representatives to the sessions. He personally had spoken on behalf of both Committees, describing their experience and the differences between their work.

22. While the draft text contained a large number of square brackets, the first reading had clarified many problems and identified possible solutions. The second reading would be the decisive one. Although most of the decisions to be taken would be political, the expert input would be even more important than at the first reading, as only the experts could explain the effect which the various forms of wording would have. The experts' input to the second reading would in fact be essential if an effective sub-committee was to be established, capable of attaining its goals.

23. Among the more important issues still to be resolved was whether or not under article 1, a State party "shall permit" visits in accordance with the protocol or whether such visits would depend on the invitation of the State party concerned. He believed that, if the latter wording were adopted, the protocol would be worthless.

24. With regard to the establishment of a sub-committee under CAT, while it had been decided that no two members should be nationals of the same State, the size of the sub-committee was still in question.

25. Particularly important, however, was the subparagraph in article 4 stating that the members of the sub-committee should be chosen from among persons of high moral character, having proven professional experience in the field of the administration of justice, in particular in criminal law, prison or police administration or in the various medical fields relevant to the treatment of persons deprived of their liberty or in the field of human rights. A subsequent article drew attention to the need to take geographical and gender distribution into account.

26. The election process still had to be settled, although it had been decided that each State party should nominate candidates and that their election would be by secret ballot and require an absolute majority of votes from States present and voting. It had been suggested that, on the basis of the nominations received, the Committee against Torture should propose a list of recommended candidates, having due regard to article 4 of the Protocol, in order to ensure that the sub-committee had a wide range of competence available to it.

27. The question as to whether an individual should be eligible for re-election once or twice was still open, members of the CPT being eligible for re-election only once. However, in view of the time needed to develop active expertise as a member, the CAT's representatives had suggested that members should be able to be re-elected twice.

28. One of the crucial issues to be resolved at the second reading would be the way in which missions were to be undertaken and performed, the possibilities ranging from the freedom to undertake whatever missions the sub-committee might deem appropriate, on the one hand, to having to obtain the agreement of the State party concerned, on the other. With regard to the composition of missions, it had been agreed that each should consist of two members of the sub-committee, assisted by experts, although the choice and number of the latter remained undecided. China had been opposed to the inclusion of experts, while another group had been in favour of allowing the committees to decide on the type of expertise needed for each visit.

29. On the relationship between CAT and the sub-committee, it had been decided that the submission of reports and recommendations by the sub-committee to CAT should be optional rather than compulsory, although the sub-committee would have a duty to submit an annual report to CAT on the countries and places within countries that had been visited and including information on the composition of the missions and confidential matters, as well as general recommendations on ways of improving the protection of persons deprived of their liberty.

30. It had been suggested that a special voluntary fund should be established for the purpose of implementing recommendations.

31. The working group's report was still only in draft form, but was expected to be finalized shortly and published in approximately two months' time, just prior to the next session of the Commission on Human Rights. The Secretariat might be asked to forward copies to individual members of the Committee. It would doubtless take four to five years for the second reading to be completed, after which the text would be referred to the General Assembly for approval. It would probably enter into force around the year 2005.

32. Mr. BRUNI (Secretary of the Committee) said that the working group's report would be published as a document of the Commission on Human Rights and that it would automatically be sent to Committee members, certainly before the following session.

Consideration of the second periodic report of the United Kingdom (CAT/C/25/Add.6)

33. Mr. BURNS remarked that, owing to the absence of Mr. Yakovlev, it would be necessary to appoint an alternate rapporteur for the United Kingdom report.

34. The CHAIRMAN announced that Mr. El Ibrashi would act as alternate rapporteur for the United Kingdom report.

The public part of the meeting rose at 11.55 a.m.

UN

UNITED NATIONS

CAT

Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

Distr.
GENERAL

CAT/C/SR.228
16 November 1995

Original: ENGLISH

COMMITTEE AGAINST TORTURE

Fifteenth session

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE FIRST PART (PUBLIC)* OF THE 228th MEETING

Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva,
on Tuesday, 14 November 1995, at 10 a.m.

Chairman: Mr. DIPANDA MOUELLE

CONTENTS

STATEMENT BY THE HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS SUBMITTED BY STATES PARTIES UNDER ARTICLE 19 OF THE CONVENTION

Second periodic report of Denmark

* The summary record of the second part (closed) of the meeting appears as document CAT/C/SR.228/Add.1.

This record is subject to correction.

Corrections should be submitted in one of the working languages. They should be set forth in a memorandum and also incorporated in a copy of the record. They should be sent within one week of the date of this document to the Official Records Editing Section, room E.4108, Palais des Nations, Geneva.

Any corrections to the records of the public meetings of the Committee at this session will be consolidated in a single corrigendum, to be issued shortly after the end of the session.

GE.95-19596 (E)

The meeting was called to order at 10.10 a.m.

STATEMENT BY THE HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

1. The CHAIRMAN invited the High Commissioner for Human Rights to address the Committee.

2. Mr. AYALA LASSO (High Commissioner for Human Rights) said that the current session of the Committee coincided with an unprecedented financial crisis in the United Nations due to the non-payment of their contributions by certain Member States. The Organization had had to cut back on many of its activities in order to confront that crisis. None the less, given the importance of the work of the treaty-monitoring bodies, and particularly the Committee against Torture, he had personally seen to it that its activities would not be affected by the recent budget cuts. He and the secretariat would make every effort to ensure the best possible conditions for the Committee's current session and future activities

3. Summarizing relevant developments since the Committee's previous session, he referred to the Fourth World Conference on Women, which he had attended, along with Mr. Fall, Assistant-Secretary-General for Human Rights, and several staff members of the Centre for Human Rights, in order to affirm the priority they attached to the full integration, without discrimination, of the fundamental rights of women and to their integration into the major activities of all United Nations bodies. The Conference had stressed the numerous obstacles that women continued to encounter in the enjoyment of their rights and had insisted on the fact that equality between men and women had still not been fully achieved. In keeping with the instruments adopted by the World Conference on Human Rights, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action reaffirmed the fundamental principles of the indivisibility and inalienability of the rights of women and the girl child, which were an integral part of universal human rights.

4. The main objective was to promote the establishment of a new partnership between men and women based on the sharing of power and responsibilities in order to ensure that women played an active role in all domains of public and private life. That, of course, implied that the interests of women should be effectively taken into account both at the national level and in United Nations bodies, particularly those working to secure respect for, and protection of, all human rights. The treaty-monitoring bodies had a priority role to play in that regard, in that they systematically monitored the protection of rights in all States parties to the treaty in question. The meeting of persons chairing the human rights treaty bodies had in fact made a number of proposals in that regard.

5. The sixth meeting of chairpersons had been held at Geneva from 18 to 22 September and had been attended by the Chairman of the Committee, among others. He would therefore mention only briefly some of the issues which had been discussed and on which recommendations had been made. The meeting had considered the question of the equality and fundamental rights of women and had endorsed the recommendations of an expert meeting held on that subject at Geneva in July 1994. During the meeting, he had organized a general discussion on the role to be played by the treaty-monitoring bodies in the

areas of advisory services and technical assistance to States parties. Procedures had already been set up to enable the competent branches of the Centre for Human Rights to be kept informed of requests made by the various committees, particularly through suggestions and recommendations in the conclusions they addressed to States parties after consideration of their reports. He encouraged the Committee to make even greater efforts in that connection and, where possible, to indicate whether advisory services or technical assistance were desirable for States whose reports were under consideration and, if so, what sort of assistance should be envisaged.

6. Discussions had also been held on human rights education and the type of contribution the treaty-monitoring bodies might make. He welcomed the fact that the Committee took every opportunity to stress the need for setting up education, information and training programmes on the prohibition of torture for medical personnel, judges, law enforcement officials, and members of police forces, prison staff and the armed forces. The chairpersons had also affirmed the need for greater interaction between the treaty-monitoring bodies established within the United Nations system and the regional bodies. They had also stressed the need for greater cooperation among the treaty-monitoring bodies themselves and between those bodies and the non-treaty machinery.

7. Summing up recent activities of some of the treaty and non-treaty bodies working to combat torture, he said that the Board of Trustees of the United Nations Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture had held its fourteenth session at Geneva from 15 to 24 May. The total amount of contributions available to the Board for assistance projects for torture victims had been $2.7 million. However, requests for funding of assistance to torture victims had totalled more than $6 million, for 112 projects; 28 new requests had come from humanitarian organizations and 5 from torture victims themselves. Despite the financial crisis currently affecting the Fund, the Board had committed itself to honouring some 40 per cent of each request for funds that met its criteria. In addition, the Board encouraged closer cooperation between the Fund and the specialized agencies and other bodies, such as WHO, UNDP and UNHCR, with the aim of defining a common strategy for assisting victims of torture.

8. Mr. Rodley, Special Rapporteur of the Commission on Human Rights on questions relating to torture, had in 1995 received a very large number of communications alleging torture. Since the beginning of 1995, Mr. Rodley had transmitted 92 urgent appeals to the authorities in 37 countries concerning individuals who had allegedly been tortured or with regard to whom fears of torture had been expressed, generally during their incommunicado detention or interrogation. Mr. Rodley had also sent 54 letters to 48 Governments to inform them of allegations of torture which he had received and which concerned them. He had travelled to Chile in August 1995 at the invitation of the Chilean Government, and, in the course of his interviews with the Chilean authorities, had several times referred to the Committee's conclusions and recommendations adopted after its consideration of the second periodic report of that country in November 1994.

9. The working group of the Commission on Human Rights on the draft optional protocol to the Convention had recently completed its fourth session. The group had continued its first reading of the draft protocol, and Mr. Sorensen, who had participated in some of its meetings, would certainly give the Committee more details.

10. Regarding the proposed restructuring of the Centre for Human Rights, the previous day he had reported to the Centre and to Member States on the analysis of the first phase of the restructuring recommended by the consultants, which took into account all the needs of the various bodies, instruments and committees. The proposed changes in no way entailed the abolition of any unit but, on the contrary, had the sole purpose of providing more efficient, timely and dynamic services. In particular, the communications branch would be improved.

CONSIDERATION OF REPORTS SUBMITTED BY STATES PARTIES UNDER ARTICLE 19 OF THE CONVENTION (agenda item 4)

Second periodic report of Denmark (CAT/C/17/Add.13; HRI/CORE/1/Add.58)

11. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr. Mikaelsen, Mr. Reimann, Mr. Hendriksen, Ms. Cohn, Ms. Schouenborg and Mr. Frederiksen took seats at the Committee table.

12. Mr. MIKAELSEN (Denmark), introducing his country's second periodic report (CAT/C/17/Add.13), apologized for the fact that the report, which had been due on 22 June 1992, had unfortunately not been submitted to the Centre for Human Rights until 22 February 1995. The report supplemented Denmark's initial report, which had been introduced in 1988 and considered by the Committee in 1989. In the second report, a number of references were made to the initial report. He drew the Committee's attention to the fact that in 1995 the Government had submitted a 21-page core document (HRI/CORE/1/Add.58), which represented a part of Denmark's reporting to the Committee. In drafting the second report, Denmark had chosen to illustrate new developments through comments on specific cases, as recommended by the Committee. There had been further developments subsequent to the submission of the written report in February 1995, such as the July 1995 amendment of the rules on filing complaints against the police.

13. Mr. EL IBRASHI (Country Rapporteur) said that he would welcome clarification from the State party on three main issues: questions regarding the 1995 report; articles of the Convention and their implementation in the Danish legal system; and information received from NGOs. Paragraph 36 of the core document referred to the role of Ombudsman, but it was not clear what kind of cases the Ombudsman had reported on and what the outcome of his reports had been. Paragraph 67 stated that prosecution of crimes was generally left to the Public Prosecutor, except for a few special crimes, such as defamation, where it was up to the victims to instigate criminal proceedings on their own. It further stated that in criminal proceedings the court could award the victim of the crime damages if the victim had submitted a request that the court do so. What were the criteria with regard to compensation for criminal and civil courts? What was the relationship between the two types of request for damages? And what were the respective ceilings

for damages awarded by the criminal courts and the civil courts? Could a victim receive compensation both from the perpetrator and from the State? And what happened if the perpetrator did not have sufficient financial resources?

14. One question that had already been raised in the report of the Council of Europe concerned the way in which complaints against the police were handled. In such cases, it was of overriding importance that any investigation should be carried out by an independent authority; a police investigation was not satisfactory. As mentioned in certain NGO reports, some victims were afraid to lodge complaints with the police.

15. It did not seem that the Convention against Torture had been incorporated into Denmark's legislation: it had been stated at some point that Denmark might consider taking that step, but he wanted to make certain that the Convention was applied by the courts automatically.

16. Turning to a number of individual articles discussed in Denmark's second report, he noted with regard to article 1 that Danish domestic legislation contained no definition of torture - a situation which was by no means unique to Denmark and did not mean that Denmark was failing to comply with the provisions of the Convention. Nevertheless, the Committee would have preferred to see the definition as it stood in the Convention introduced into domestic law. According to one NGO report, in some cases a very restricted definition of torture was applied. If the definition was made part of Denmark's legislation, it would be very useful to Danish judges, who might otherwise depart from it.

17. Concerning article 2 of the Convention, he asked what effective legislative, administrative, judicial or other measures had been taken to prevent acts of torture. In particular, no reference had been made in the second report to article 2, paragraph 3. Had there been any cases of a superior officer giving an order at variance with the Convention, which therefore had not been obeyed?

18. With regard to article 3, had there been any cases in which Denmark had refused to expel a person because there were substantial grounds for believing that he would be in danger of being subjected to torture?

19. On article 10 of the Convention, he sought further information about the role of schools and universities in ensuring that education and information regarding the prohibition against torture were fully included in the training of law enforcement personnel. If a person who had accused a police officer of torture or abuse instituted criminal proceedings, which were then rejected, could he still initiate civil proceedings? What was the role of civil proceedings, and were they bound by the outcome of the criminal proceedings?

20. The representative of Denmark had spoken in his presentation of a review of police regulations and guidelines. He wondered whether they had been finalized and, if so, whether they had been approved and were being enforced. He also inquired which of the recommendations made by the Council of Europe in that regard had been introduced.

21. Turning his attention to the October 1995 report of Amnesty International on Denmark, he said that there was still some confusion in his mind about the different forms of "leg-lock" used to restrain detainees. It seemed clear that a doctor needed to be present so as to monitor the pulse and respiration of any detainee so restrained.

22. A country's system of detention was of crucial importance, yet he found no mention in the report of how long a person could be held in custody, what rules existed for the treatment of detainees, or even whether detainees had the right to see a doctor, a lawyer or family members or to be properly fed. That issue had also been raised by Amnesty International, and a recommendation in that regard was contained in the report of the Council of Europe.

23. Page 3 of the report of Amnesty International also referred to prolonged and indefinite periods of imprisonment for Greenlanders in Denmark, and said that the Danish Government and the Greenland Home Rule Government had set up a commission to review and make recommendations for revision of Greenland's legal and criminal justice systems. He would like to know the outcome of the work of the commission, which had been in existence for almost two years.

24. In connection with a point made on page 5 of Amnesty International's report, he sought clarification as to the powers of the regional police complaints boards and the regional State prosecutors and asked whether a victim could lodge a complaint directly with the regional State prosecutor. If so, why would that victim want to lodge a complaint with the chief constable, which might only cause difficulties for the victim in the future.

25. He requested the Danish delegation to provide details about the recently passed law creating a new system for handling complaints about police conduct and would also like to know more about the police complaints board and the State prosecutor's role.

26. He inquired whether there had been an investigation into the events of the night of 18-19 May 1993 in the Nørrebro district of Copenhagen and what the outcome had been. Page 7 of Amnesty International's report made mention of a review of regulations for law enforcement officials on the use of force. Would a new system be replacing the old? That there was a gap between police training and practice was evidenced by the cases of "Mrs. Andersen" and Benjamin Schou, referred to on page 20 of Amnesty International's report.

27. In its report of July 1991, the Council of Europe's Committee for the Prevention of Torture (CPT), while finding no evidence of torture in Danish prisons and police stations, had made a number of comments and recommendations. It had referred to the system of isolation and detention of prisoners. Was that system still applicable? The CPT had sought statistics on the number of remand prisoners and on the special security cells for the temporary holding of violent persons. What were the rights of persons placed in custody? The report had discussed the duration of detention on remand; during a visit, the CPT had come across numerous cases of detention on remand varying from six months to over two years. The CPT had also stressed the importance of access to legal counsel.

28. He hoped that the Danish delegation would be able to report to the Committee on those comments and on the extent to which the recommendations had been implemented.

29. Mr. REGMI (Alternate Country Rapporteur) thanked the delegation of Denmark for the outstanding second periodic report and oral presentation. The establishment of the Rehabilitation and Research Centre for Torture Victims (RCT) was an exemplary initiative. The work of the RCT was a source of deep satisfaction to the Committee.

30. Paragraph 9 of the second report stated that there had been no changes in legislation or practice in relation to articles 1 to 4 of the Convention against Torture. When Denmark had submitted its initial report to the Committee, it had been urged to consider legislation to make torture a crime under its own domestic law. Legal certainty required clear definitions.

31. Paragraph 103 of the core document (HRI/CORE/1/Add.58) stated that Denmark had a "dualist" system, under which international agreements to which Denmark became a party were not automatically incorporated into domestic law. As the Convention was not expressly incorporated into national legislation, a person who had committed the crime of torture could not be properly prosecuted. The Danish Criminal Code did not specifically define a crime of torture within the meaning of article 1 of the Convention, nor did it indicate an appropriate punishment. Denmark had, however, taken the positive step of ratifying the European Convention for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment and the Second Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, on the abolition of the death penalty, which was undoubtably cruel and inhuman punishment.

32. A number of inhuman, cruel or degrading practices persisted in Denmark, such as solitary confinement and the use by the police of the "leg-lock" to restrain detainees. He would like to know what the maximum period of confinement was, where persons were confined and who was responsible for inspecting places of confinement. Under what conditions had the "leg-lock" been applied? It was not clear from the report whether the use of that cruel practice had been permanently abolished or merely suspended. What was the procedure for lodging a complaint for a person who alleged that he had been subjected to torture? How could the torture victim obtain adequate compensation? What was the maximum compensation to which the victim was entitled? In the event of the victim's death as a result of torture, could his dependants claim compensation? The second periodic report stated that a person subjected to torture had the right to claim compensation from the torturer and that the aggrieved party might receive compensation from the State if the perpetrator was not found or did not have enough money to pay compensation. It was difficult to see how it was possible that compensation could be granted by a party other than the perpetrator. How was it decided whether the perpetrator had enough money to pay compensation?

33. He sought further information about the judicial system in Denmark, for example whether the Supreme Court was empowered to declare a law unconstitutional and void. Was there any case law on the subject?

Amnesty International had reported on many cases of alleged ill-treatment by the police. How many actual cases had there been? Had compensation been paid in every instance? And what was the current situation?

34. Mr. BURNS joined the country rapporteurs in thanking the Danish delegation for presenting its second periodic report. It was only fair to point out that of those States that had ratified the Convention, Denmark was at the forefront in terms of its commitment to the values contained therein; it had, in particular, ratified articles 21 and 22 of the Convention and was a regular contributor to the United Nations Voluntary Fund for Victims of Torture. Denmark was unique in its active involvement in educational programmes on torture and in the way it provided resources for the rehabilitation of torture victims. Since the end of the Second World War, Denmark had also been a pioneer in developing human rights standards.

35. It was therefore all the more shocking to be confronted by a report such as that from Amnesty International that claimed to reveal casual police brutality in dealing with Danish citizens and with non-Danes entering the country either for immigration or asylum purposes and, in one case as a tourist. It appeared that one of the worst features of police practice, the fixed leg-lock, was no longer part of their technique, but the non-fixed leg-lock had not been eliminated and he wondered whether that was still necessary.

36. He endorsed the concerns of Mr. El Ibrashi concerning the type of restraint which involved handcuffing a person behind his back and then forcing his arms up so that considerable nerve damage, temporary and permanent, might result; that was a particularly painful and brutal act by the police which should be used only in the most extreme circumstances.

37. Among the case studies mentioned in the Amnesty International report, the Fatty case gave cause for particular concern, even though the treatment had not constituted torture as defined by the Convention. Nevertheless, if Mr. Fatty, having exhausted local remedies, were to bring a complaint before the Committee, assuming that the facts alleged in the statement were correct, it was inconceivable that the Committee would not find that there had been cruel treatment. In fact, it was impossible to conceive of less striking treatment within the category of cruel treatment not amounting to torture. It was disappointing, therefore, that the magistrate had not provided an analysis of the basis for his finding.

38. He asked whether the delegation could provide the Committee with information indicating the number of prosecutions of police officers over the past three years for alleged brutality against citizens or, in the absence of prosecutions, on any disciplinary proceedings which had been brought against officers in the same circumstances.

39. The concept of isolation on remand, which differed from solitary confinement in that it allowed access to a lawyer but not to other prisoners, family or friends, was another cause for concern. He wondered who exercised discretion as to whether or not a person should be placed in isolation on

remand, and whether the decision was subject to judicial review. What were the statutory rules relating to such remand and what was the ultimate remand period?

40. With regard to compensation for legal aid and the comment that legal aid was available to anyone charged with an offence but repayable by the guilty, he took it that legal representation was not regarded in Denmark as being part of the social safety net. He wondered whether persons who had not had legal-aid representation but had hired their own lawyer to establish their innocence were able to obtain compensation from the State to cover those costs and whether all the costs were recoverable.

41. Mr. GIL LAVEDRA, endorsing the comments made by other Committee members, asked how the training of law enforcement officials was carried out, especially in the light of the brutal police practices described in the NGO reports. How did Denmark envisage establishing investigative machinery by independent bodies to stop police abuse? And what measures had been adopted following the recommendations by the CPT?

42. Mr. SLIM asked how the Danish Constitution dealt with problems which fell between treaty law and domestic legislation, whether there was a hierarchical relationship between the two systems and whether treaty law prevailed over domestic law. Were the treaty provisions incorporated into domestic legislation properly respected? And if the two conflicted, did judges ever refuse to give precedence to international law?

43. The CHAIRMAN, endorsing the tributes of Committee members for the written and oral reports by Denmark, asked whether the number of torture victims dealt with by the RCT was declining or increasing.

44. In the absence of further questions, he thanked the Danish delegation for its cooperation and looked forward to hearing its replies later in the day.

The public part of the meeting rose at 11.45 a.m.